

Mr. Forsyth,

Already several months ago I have forwarded an inquiry for You. Now I am asking You once again, have your laboratory issued this document or not?



Professor Viktor Petrik
VIP's Technologies, Inc.
St. Petersburg, Russia

Reference: Mass Retention Comparison Study of
1.00 Gram of HRCM versus 5.00 Grams of GAC

Dear Professor Petrik,

At your request, Sierra Analytical Labs, Inc. performed a pilot study to determine the mass retained by the two (2) products you submitted. The products were identified as: High Reactivity Carbon Mixture (HRCM) and Virgin Coconut Shell 4 x 6 mesh Granular Activated Carbon (GAC), the finest activated carbon for volatile organic compounds available on the American market.

The study was set-up to determine the mass retained by each product for various substances. The substances were selected prior to the study.

The results are summarized on the attached chart.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,


Richard K. Forsyth
Laboratory Director



Substance	Mass (g) Retained by 1.00 g HRCM	Mass (g) Retained by 5.00 g GAC
Acetonitrile	32.1	1.22
Benzene	31.63	1.36
Chloroform	24.55	1.32
Crude Oil	74.51*	0.95*
Dichloromethane	32.76	1.02
Diesel	36.65	1.11
Gasoline	29.76	1.4
Hexane	27.54	1.31
Isopropyl Alcohol	22.79	1.06
Kerosene	40.16	1.12
Mineral Spirits	29.21	0.94
Naphtha	24.14	1.01
Nitric Acid	51.33	1.04
Phosphoric Acid	60.28	1.16
Sulfuric Acid	36.54	1.09
Tetrachloroethene	38.22	1.41
Toluene	34.89	0.95
Turpentine	26.68	0.89
Xylenes	38.61	0.97

HRCM - High Reactionary Carbon Mixture

GAC - Granular Activated Carbon

* - Retained Mass estimated due to the high viscosity of the Substance.

26052 MERIT CIRCLE SUITE 105, LAGUNA HILLS, CALIFORNIA 92653
TELEPHONE: (949) 348-9389 FAX: (949) 348-9115
E-MAIL: SIERRALABS@EARTHLINK.NET

We have received this summary from Mr. Lahey. I attach herewith his video interview, where he announces that he will carry out researches in your laboratory. Please, answer a

question: Whether Mr.Lahey is a swindler and failed to carry out researches in your laboratory or not?

I hereby also forward You completed comparison tests in Excelchem Environment Labs in the USA, which actually confirm drastic difference of USVR (HRCM) sorbent being investigated versus activated charcoal. Indirectly it confirms that above research results could not be devised, therefore these were made in reality. Currently, USVR (HRCM) investigations are performed in numerous research centers in the USA.

The second question is as follows: Have You written this letter?

December 9, 2008

Mr. Goldberg,

Sierra Analytical Labs, Inc. has never been associated with a Viktor Petrick, nor have we ever had a Mr. Bobarykin on staff.


I am the sole owner of Sierra Analytical as well as the Laboratory Director. I have owned Sierra since 1998, but have been the Lab Director since 1993.

Sierra has never authorized the use of its logo with any connection to Supra Carbonic and/or Petrik.

In the past we have done some analytical testing for Mr. Lahey, who used to operate an environmental firm. We may have done some testing, but as for the actual outcome, we have no information or provided any endorsement.

I would like to receive additional information to legally pursue these false statements.

Thank-you.



Richard K. Forsyth

As a result, You represented me a fraud in the eyes of Russian public. Russian academicians still represent me in Russian mass media as a fraud, which is able to counterfeit a document. I have written You last time, that I was glad to see a journalist from Washington Post, who informed me personally that You confirmed an issue of above document. Is he lying?

Mr. Forsyth, if You still give no answer to my question, I will be forced to address the court in the USA.

Best regards, Mr. Petrik.